



EUROPEAN EDUCATION AND CULTURE
EXECUTIVE AGENCY (EACEA)

EACEA.A - Erasmus+, EU Solidarity Corps
EACEA.A.4 - International Capacity Building

Brussels
EACEA.A.4/RR/RN
File code: 618883

Prof. Sergio Caputi
Rettore
Università degli Studi G. D'Annunzio
Via Dei Vestini
66013 Chieti
ITALIA

Email: sergio.caputi@unich.it
Cc: lorenzo.pignatti@unich.it

**Subject: 618883-EPP-1-2020-1-IT-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
Technical Implementation Report of the project
Statement on the use of the previous pre-financing instalment and request for
payment**

Dear Prof. Caputi,

We would like to inform you and your project partners that we have carried out the assessment of your Technical Implementation Report submitted on 14/10/2022 and we are pleased to inform you that it has been approved.

Our assessment looks at the partnership's performance as regards the efficient implementation of the project. It takes into account the Technical Implementation Report but also the desk monitoring conducted by the EACEA since the start of the eligibility period. The project implementation should not only respect the CBHE requirements but it should also be in line with the original proposal included under Annex I of the Grant Agreement.

In this context and in accordance with the Grant Agreement and the Erasmus+ Programme Guide, your project implementation has been qualified as **GOOD** (please refer to the Categories of qualification below). The comments and recommendations sheet in Annex of the letter provides more detailed feedback.

In addition, we remind you that your project is now online on the [Erasmus+ Project Results Platform](#), so as to ensure transparency and visibility of EU funding. We invite you to update your project data and to upload your project results. Please note though that these results will become publicly available only after the validation of your final report by the Agency.

We are pleased to inform you that, on the basis of the information provided in the above mentioned form, the requirements foreseen by Article I.4.2 of the Grant Agreement have been met. Therefore, you may expect payment of a further pre-financing in accordance with the rules set out in Artt. I.5.1 and I.5.2 of the Grant Agreement.

Please note that the eligibility of the costs incurred (excel file) will only be verified at Final Report stage (Article II.25 of the Grant Agreement). Therefore, this feedback letter does not imply the approval of the costs declared in this reporting exercise.

In addition, we would like to remind you to implement shortly the termination of the four Belarusians partners and of the requested termination from the partner Hochschule für Technik, Wirtschaft und Kultur Leipzig with an amendment according to the Article II.17.4.2(a) of the Grant Agreement, as it has been communicated to the project officer.

Should you require any further information, please contact Rosalba Nardelli, e-mail: rosalba.nardelli@ec.europa.eu. We also encourage you to regularly consult our Erasmus+ website https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/erasmus-plus/beneficiaries-space_en.

We wish you a successful continuation of your activities.

Yours sincerely,

Ralf RAHDERS
Head of Unit

Copy: NEO Ukraine: s_shytikova@ilid.org.ua , office@erasmusplus.org.ua

Annex: Comments and recommendations

Categories of qualification:

Very good (at least 75 pts out of 100): the project is implemented in accordance with its original work programme and timetable; the report provides all the information and evidence needed and there are no particular concerns or areas of weakness.

Good (between 74 and 60 pts out of 100): the project progresses in accordance with its original work programme and timetable but some improvements could be made; the report gives clear information on all or nearly all of the evidence needed.

Fair (between 59 and 50 pts): there are weaknesses and concerns in the project implementation; although the report gives some relevant information, details are lacking and/or the information is unclear; there are several areas in the implementation that must be improved during the second half of the project.

Weak (less than 50 pts out of 100): the project is not respecting its original work plan/timetable and/or is not fulfilling the CBHE requirements; the report fails to address important aspects of the project implementation that cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information; in accordance with article 1.10.6 of the Grant Agreement and section 3.5.2.2 of the Guidelines for the Use of the Grant, the maximum grant will be reduced at final report stage if no substantial improvements are observed during the second half of the project.